

US 101-BRISCO/HALCYON INTERCHANGE MODIFICATION PROJECT DISCUSSION

## DISCUSSION TOPICS

- Background
- Where we are in the process
- Request for Qualifications
- Proposals
- Budget
- Options for going forward
- Questions


## BACKGROUND

- Underway since the late 1990's
- In 2005 Council approved a consultant agreement with Wood Rodgers to complete the Project Report and Environmental Documents
- March 2019 Council approved Alt 4C over Alt 1 and No Project
- January 2021 Council approved final environmental documents
- April 2021 Project Report and Environmental documents completed and approved by Caltrans
- Project Approval and Environmental Determination Phase is completed


## WHERE ARE WE NOW?

- Beginning Plans Specs and Estimate (PS\&E) Phase
- December 2021 RFQ issued for design of Alt 4C
- February 2022 proposals received and reviewed
- March 2022 Interviews held
- March 2022 entered negotiations with top ranked firm
- Decision: How to move forward?


## REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

- Alt 4C
- Capped construction cost $\$ 12 \mathrm{M}-\$ 15 \mathrm{M}$
- Reduce Cost through
- Phasing and deferring improvements
- Value Engineering
- Additional Funding Sources


## PROPOSALS

- 4 proposal received and 4 consult teams interviewed
- Cost reductions:
- Shift roundabout to north east
- Reduce and defer park and ride
- Elevate Roundabout
- Defer Grace Lane/Rodeo improvements
- Defer US 101 Grand Avenue SB realignment
- Defer sound walls
- Phasing


## PROPOSALS

- Constraints and concerns
- Caltrans
- Utility Relocations
- Phasing options
- Timing
- Construction Costs
- Currently under contract negotiation with top ranked firm


## FY 21/22 BUDGET

Current Expenditures

- $\$ 2.6 \mathrm{M}$ spent to date

Future Expenditures

- PS\&E - \$1.4M
- R/W - \$4.0M
- Construction - \$26.7M

Revenue

- STIP - \$6.6M
- Traffic Signalization \$1M
- Traffic Facilities - \$1.6M
- Sales Tax - \$1.2M
- Other funding - \$21.7M


## OPTIONS FOR MOVING FORWARD

- No Project
- Award as proposed
- Cost $\$ 1.5 \mathrm{M}-\$ 1.8 \mathrm{M}$
- Award a reduced scope
- Cost about $\$ 0.5 \mathrm{M}$
- Take all project components of Alt 4c to 30\%
- Get phasing approval and concurrence from Caltrans
- Determine actual utility relocations and points of relocations
- Update construction costs for each phase
- Come back to council with updated costs and phasing


## No Project

PROS

- Saves money now

CONS

- No resolution of the issues
- Defers issues to a later date
- Loss of a completed Project Report and Environmental Documents
- Loss of $\$ 6.6 \mathrm{M}$ in construction funds


## AWARD AS PROPOSED

## PROS

- Allows the project to move forward.
- Potential to secure $\$ 6.6 \mathrm{M}$


## CONS

- Lots of unknowns
- Current scope over the budgeted amount (\$1.5 M - \$4M)
- Proposal costs may be higher due to unknowns
- Less flexibility than the reduced scope


## REDUCED SCOPE

## PROS

- Less than $1 / 3$ the cost of the original proposal
- Allows the project to move forward
- Potential to secure $\$ 6.6 \mathrm{M}$
- Gives the council better information and flexibility before committing to finalizing PS\&E


## CONS

- Costs the City money


## QUESTIONS ??



